

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/726,382		12/03/2003	Sheng-Shiou Yeh	TJK/434	5586
27717	7590	03/29/2006		EXAM	INER
SEYFART	'H SHAV	V LLP	DUDEK, JAMES A		
55 E. MON SUITE 420		EET	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
CHICAGO,	-	03-5803	2871		
				DATE MAILED: 03/29/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/726,382	YEH ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	James A. Dudek	2871					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONEI	I. lely filed the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	_•						
· —· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	3 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application.							
,	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce	epted or b) \square objected to by the E	Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti	on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).					
a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents		an Na					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior		ed in this National Stage					
application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of		d ·					
dee the attached detailed office action for a list of	or the definied dopies not reserve	u .					
August 111 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 1							
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)					
Notice of References Cited (P10-692) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PT0-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ite					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	5) Notice of Informal P	atent Application (PTO-152)					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date							

Application/Control Number: 10/726,382

Art Unit: 2871

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1, 3-4, 7-9 and 18-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being clearly anticipated by US 20030218706A1 (706).

Per claims 1, 8 and 20, 706 teaches structure of a light-shielding frame for a liquid crystal display panel, comprising: a thin film transistor array substrate [101, this limitation does not require a transistor, it merely requires that the substrate be capable of supporting a TFT] having one display region [display region is the region between frame 123] and one frame region surrounding said display region [black matrix made from the color filters 123], wherein a plurality of pixels are defined in said display region; and at least three color layers formed on said display region [see figure 2, 120RB,120RR,120RG] and said frame region [123], wherein the portions of said color filter layers located on said frame region are stacked on each other, and the other portions of said color filter layers located on said display region are coplanar and adjacent to each other see figures 1-2], and the portions of said color filter layers located on said frame region are used to prevent ambient light from projecting onto said frame region and serves as a spacer whereby a cell gap between said thin film transistor array substrate and an opposite substrate is uniformly controlled [it is used as a black matrix].

Per claim 3, 706 teaches the structure according to claim 1, wherein said cell gap between said thin film transistor array substrate and said opposite substrate is much more uniformly controlled by further forming a planarization layer on said color layer [27].

Per claim 4, 706 teaches the structure according to claim 3, wherein said planarization layer is made of a transparent resin [27, see paragraph 69].

Application/Control Number: 10/726,382

Art Unit: 2871

Per claim 7, 081 teaches the structure according to claim 1, wherein said color layer is selected from a group consisting of a red color layer, a green color layer, and a blue color layer [see figure 2].

Per claim 9, 081 the method according to claim 8, wherein said step (a) is performed by a photolithography process and a dyeing process [see paragraphs 67+].

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 2, 5-6 and 10-17 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over 706.

Per claim 2, 706 teaches the structure according to claim 1, but lacks a pattern of a transistor array formed on said display region. However, it was well known to form TFT arrays to improve resolution of the display. Accordingly, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention combine the well known TFT with 706.

Per claim 5, 706 teaches the structure according to claim 1, but lacks said liquid crystal display panel being a low temperature polysilicon liquid crystal display panel. However, it was well known to use low temperature polysilicon substrates for LCDs to improve production

Application/Control Number: 10/726,382

Art Unit: 2871

yield. Accordingly, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention.

Per claim 6, 706 teaches the structure according to claim 5, but lacks a pattern of a plurality of driving integrated circuits is formed on said frame region. However, it was well known to form drivers on the substrate in order to condense the cell. Accordingly, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill at the time of invention to combine the well known COG with 081.

Per claims 10-13, 706 teaches the method according to claim 8, but lacks said step (a) further comprises simultaneously forming a spacer on said display region. However, it was well known to use color filters as spacers to reduce the number of manufacturing steps when make LCDs. Accordingly, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention.

Per claim 14, 706 teaches the method according to claim 12, but lacks said step (a1) further comprises a step of (a2): polishing said planarization layer by chemical-mechanical polishing to a pre-determined thickness. However, chemical-mechanical polishing is well known in order to form a layer having a predetermined thickness. This particular method works particularly well with resin layers. Accordingly, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill at the time of invention to combine the well known chemical-mechanical polishing with 081.

Per claims 15 and 16, 706 teaches the method according to claim 12, wherein after said step (a1) further comprises a step of (a3): uniformly spraying a plurality of plastic beads on said display region. However, it was well known to use spacer beads in order to maintain cell gap thickness. Accordingly, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill at the time of invention to combine the well known beads with 081.

Response to Arguments

The arguments are moot due to the new grounds of rejection.

Application/Control Number: 10/726,382 Page 5

Art Unit: 2871

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to James A. Dudek whose telephone number is 571-272-2290. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:00-5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert H. Kim can be reached on 571-272-2293. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866 (217) 9197 (toll-free).

Varnes A. Dudek Primary Examiner Art Unit 2871